

Security, Political Dimensions and Consequences of NATO Expansion in the Region

Rana Eijaz Ahmad

University of the Punjab, Lahore

ABSTRACT

After the disintegration of Soviet Union in 1991, America became the sole super power in the world. America is facing the worst ever neocolonialism today. She is under the thumb of a very small Jewish lobby that holds the strings of American government through their multinational corporations (MNCs) that have been transformed into multinational governments (MNGs), with their own agenda and constitution, based on profit maximization and self-aggrandizement, assisted by international financial institutions (IFIs), World Bank (WB) and International Monetary Fund (IMF).

North Atlantic Treaty Organization's (NATO) expansion in the eastern region of the world is a categorical expression of the West to dominate the political and economic resources of the region. There are less economic resources in the world and more competitors in the form of America, Britain, Canada, European Union, China, France, Germany, India, Iran, Italy, and Russia.

This research paper intends to expose the reality that NATO expansion is based on the political and economic domination of the world by America and its allies. The states which are sovereign in their internal and external affairs and deny western influence in state affairs, are facing western aggression in the form of political, economic and military sanctions. Iran is denying western influence and following its own policies. It is unacceptable for the west but Iran and other countries have to remain out of western dependencia to keep themselves sovereign and respectable in the community of nations. Iran has to adopt a diplomatic approach to resolve its bitter relations with the West especially with America. The consequence of NATO expansion may receive a strong repulsion from the Eastern countries. The gist of the matter is this imposition which has never been welcomed by any one. The NATO forces are trying to impose the Western politico-economic system in the region.

KEY WORDS: Security, NATO, Cold Wars, Warsaw Pact

Introduction

Individual is a unit of the state, therefore security of the state as a whole is *sine qua non* for the peaceful attitude of the people because it is the right of every

individual. Security is only possible when a state is totally sovereign. The United Nations' Charter says that 'Every state which is independent has the right to secure its boundaries and all other states are supposed to respect each others' boundaries'.

Insecurity is the only reason that usually compels states to be nuclear. In this age of globalization, digital divide has divided the whole world on economic, political and social grounds on a wide scale. It is said that globalization is increasing interconnectedness with its all technological sophistications. The matter of the fact is that globalization is widening the gap between haves and have-nots. This gap is depriving few in the world but favouring the rest of the world at the same time.

Security Dimensions of NATO Expansion

After the fall of communism, the Cold War ended and Warsaw Pact became defunct. Resultantly, NATO should also had been ended its functioning which was created for the containment of communism in 1949. But it did not happen and NATO started expanding its influence under the umbrella of capitalist forces for the political, economic and military domination of the world.

In the twenty first century, it is very difficult for a state to have a direct control of a state. Instead of that, today the developed states have controlled the behavior of other states by using its economic, political and social influences. Therefore, the reason for NATO expansion in South East Asia is to control the political and economic domains of this region. NATO forces after using power in Iraq in 1991 and in Afghanistan in 2001, again in Iraq in 2003 and presently deploying its troops in Afghanistan for eliminating the terrorism and installing democracy. It seems ridiculous that a country (America) which claims to be democratic, has always supported a praetorian (military) ruler in the developing countries. Another pertinent example is that the US uses its military troops for installing democracy in the undemocratic parts of the world. For example, American forces intervened in Afghanistan (at the end of 2001) and in Iraq (2003).

The hidden story behind the scene is different, that speaks volume about the expansion of NATO forces. It is purely based on controlling oil politics in the region. The quality oil is left only in Iran and Iraq that America intends to monopolize over that oil with the help of NATO forces.

Political Dimension of NATO Expansion

Most of the countries in the developing world are intellectually dependent upon the west. Whatever is considered good for the west that is considered best for the rest. Every country has its own values and cultural background. Therefore, it is very difficult to run all the countries under one system of government. After the

disintegration of Soviet Union, America did its best to run the world politics unilaterally.

The American New World Order was not accepted by both the countries China and Iran that really irritated the American government. Hence, America started making its allies all over the world with a cyber war against terrorism. The NATO is the best alliance to attain its objectives. America along with the NATO forces, kept on expanding its war against terrorism according to its own designs based on monopolizing oil politics along with the democratic ideals, peace and human rights. It has become an open secret that America itself is committing terrorist activities in the world undemocratically, destroying peace and depriving human rights to the innocent people of Afghanistan and Iraq.

It is evident that America is highly undemocratic country in the world today. Look at some facts about undemocratic features of the US, for instance American Supreme Court is composed of nine unelected persons for life tenure. The most powerful upper chamber in the world, the US senate is again an undemocratic institution as it is represented on the basis of parity and two candidates come of every state regardless to its size or population. A smallest state of United States where number of voters are less in number has the same status that of the largest state (Zakaria, 2004: 22). The House of Representative is the only elected body in the American political system but that has no powers.

Consequences of NATO Expansion in the Region

The consequences of NATO expansion in the East are categorically against the interests of the peoples of this region. Political, economic instability and social decay are the major setbacks for these countries. It is not only harmful for the countries where the NATO forces are being deployed but it is also against the soldiers fighting with a cause that can never win hearts of the people. Imposition of any system upon any other nation is against the basic nature of the human rights. It will not install democracy but breed seeds of hatred against any deployment of forces.

It seems that American political and non political elites are overlapping and making system undemocratic, oligarchic and plutocratic. Besides that professional elite like lawyers are not taking their responsibilities efficiently. Therefore, political system is totally dependent upon some undemocratic wealth oriented and commercial forces that believe in extreme commercialism as Benjamin R. Barber called it McWorld (Barber, 1996) a hub of MNCs. It may be called as multinational governments (MNGs). These newly economically sound MNGs have their subjects, government, sovereignty and territory and believe in a 'borderless world'.

It is said that Osama Bin Laden is a stunt prepared by the Americans for entering in any part of the world under the umbrella of NATO forces. It has given

them a license to kill innocent people in the world. But American people are no more “Big Babies” as Michael Kinsley use to write them in its New Republic columns. Enough is enough; American people are well aware of their traditional glory and wish to join hand in hand for world peace.

Idiosyncrasy is another factor that exposes the intensions of the NATO expansion. It is also affecting American political system and making it a colony of the turn coats. George Walker Bush, the son of George Bush and the former president of the US, inherited some traits from his father and intends to reach oil in the Middle East, as the American Vice President Dick Cheney accepted that Iraq’s oil resources are a big advantage for us (Ibid: 259).

In Afghanistan, Iraq and Iran ‘have-nots’ are being deprived so they are ready to throw their lives even in suicide bombing for their rights. Any state that came into existence on the basis of social contract, always respect the autonomy, “freedom and dignity of the individuals,” (Scruton, 2002: 8) but America seems guilty owing to its interventions in Iraq and Afghanistan even American President George W. Bush himself admitted that it was his wrong act. In Iraq, no weapons of mass destruction could be found yet (<http://video.google.com.au/videoplay?docid=-390153062514623871&q=war#docid=-547779137021836874>). Therefore, American act can be considered as military aggrandizement for having smooth accession to oil resources.

Migration of dreams (the impact on the poor of television programmes emanating from wealthier societies) and relative deprivation (the gap between what one gets and what one thinks he/she should get) (Payne & Nassar, 2003: 115) are also a source of demonstration against American forces as local people do not like alien to rule over them. Although, Machiavelli is considered a villain in the American and Western politics yet American attempt to overcome Iraq shows that they are big admirer of Machiavelli.

People in Afghanistan, Iraq and Iran have a deep sense of deprivation that they have been destructed and ruined by American dominated NATO forces, so they will ruin them too. They consider American government at fault. The dreams of people have been shattered in the war against so called terrorism. Media controlled by Jewish lobby has awakened the dreams of the worn torn countries through aggressive marketing and gaudy advertisements. It causes migration of dreams among deprived and has made them more aggressive and volatile against the forces resisting them to have a prosperous happy life, owing to the war against terrorism. That is a wild goose chase but going on for Jewish MNGs’ interest of profit maximization.

Roger Scruton says, that “the difference between the west and the rest is that western societies are governed by politics; the rest are ruled by power (Ibid: 7)”. And that power is literally employed by the west. This power is utilized through IFIs like WB and IMF. Last two presidents of WB James Wolfensohn (1995-2005) and Paul Wolfowitz (since 2005) were Jews.

These IFIs dictate Jewish terms and conditions while give loans, aids and other such grants to any country. Even it does not matter if these IFIs have no Jew president. As American Jewish MNGs know that how they have to control their purse and whom they have to render aid or grant. All activities of American Jewish lobby are considered truly American. Infact it is not at all. It is done in the name of US, that was why America facing music.

Conclusion

This paper is not against America but an admonishing for Americans to preserve their identity as it is in question. Samuel P. Huntington has written *Who Are We? The Challenges to America's National Identity*, pertains to the identity crises in America. He says, "America was created as a Protestant society just as and for some of the same reasons Pakistan and Israel were created as Muslim and Jewish societies in the twentieth century". Traditionally, the west is considered more democratic than the rest part of the world. America and the UK are comprised of successful democratic systems but their systems are no more credible as both are supporting the terrorists at the instance of Jewish lobby. It is pity to believe that two big powers of the world used to be known as civilized are under severe threat of discontent and disorder at home owing to wagging their tails for winning Jewish favour.

It is very hard to believe that "...Western civilization is composed of communities held together by a political process, and by the rights and duties of citizen as defined that process...". If it is really so, why it (the west) is blindly supporting the terrorists, yes it is also supporting terrorists if one is creating such situation which favours the terrorist activities. As we all know that terrorist acts hurt the Muslims in the world over the most rather than any other community of the world. Scruton says that "...the form of multinational corporations, international courts, or transnational legislatures....pose a new kind of threat to the only form of sovereignty that has brought lasting (albeit local) peace to our planet". It has amplified the terrorist activities a hundred-fold. He further explains, that whatever, Osama uses for his assistance to employ destructive activities have been given by the West that suffering the rest for doing nothing.

Osama Bin Laden is an authority that gives a license to any western power to start pre emptive action against the rival country, especially a Muslim country. Osama is a self created character by the west to trespass the sovereignty of any country. That is all against the democratic norms and values.

Only one allegation upon American government can be considered directly, pertains to an access to oil resources. It is quiet bizarre that at the stake of innocent lives American government can think like that. It looks like that US is recalling 'White Man's Burden Policy' of the Britain. If it really wishes to be a sole super power in the world, it has to follow its own policies and not of Jewish lobby's.

On the other hand, Iran has to adopt a diplomatic way for its relations with the west. It should not adopt such rigid attitude that may push Iran into war. Adopting a friendly policy towards the west, does not mean that Iran has become coward but it might be consider an act of logic. The Muslim countries of the world are not a well knit unit. First we have to accumulate real Muslim integration through the Organization of Islamic Conference (OIC). It is call of the day that Muslim Ummah should make an Islamic/Muslim/Asian Union like European Union and should act rationally rather aggressively.

References

- Barber, B. R. (1996). *Jihad Vs McWorld*, New York: Ballantine Books Inc.
- Payne, R. J. and Nassar, J. R. (2003). *Politics and Cultures in the Developing World: The Impact of Globalization*, New York: Priscilla McGeehon.
- Scruton, R. (2002). *The Rest and the West: Globalization and the Terrorist Threat*, Wilmington, Del.: ISI Books.
- The Website accessed on 25-11-10.
<http://video.google.com.au/videoplay?docid=-390153062514623871&q=war#docid=-547779137021836874>.
- Zakaria, Fareed. (2004). *The Future of Freedom: Illiberal democracy at Home and Abroad*, New York: W.W. Norton Co.

Biographical Note

Mr. Rana Eijaz Ahmad is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Political Science, University of the Punjab, Lahore, and a PhD scholar at the Department of International Relations, Quaid-e-Azam University, Islamabad-Pakistan.
